

# SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.1



# **Audit Content:**

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 (March 2019) was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

# 2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
  - Universal rights covering UNGP
  - Management systems and code implementation,
  - Responsible Recruitment
  - · Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
  - Sub-Contracting and Home working,

# **4-Pillar SMETA**

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

# Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to rerecord actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

# Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

# **Next Steps:**

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexglobal.com.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit <a href="www.sedexglobal.com">www.sedexglobal.com</a> web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case, then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).

			Audit	Details					
Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex System)		ZC5000018304		Sedex Site Reference: (only available on Sedex System)		ZS1000020687			
Business name (Company name)	:	Arams Textiles Pvt.	Arams Textiles Pvt. Ltd.						
Site name:		Arams Textiles Private Limited							
Site address:		E-277, 278, 291, 291 Industrial Area Roa Growth Centre, Hamrgarh, Ricco, Bhilwara, Rajasthar 311025 IN	ıd,	Country:		IN			
Site contact and j	ob title:	Mr.Sampat Singh /	Senior	Manager - HR					
Site phone:		9004022326		Site e-mail:		vivek@saamtex.com			
SMETA Audit Pillars:		Labour Standards		Health and Safety (plus Environment 2-Pillar)	Environ 4-pillar	ment	Business Ethics		
Date of Audit: 2024-01-27									
Audit Company Name:									
		Accordia Global Compliance Group Asia Limited							
		Αι	ıdit Con	ducted By					
Affiliate Audit Company	$\searrow$	Purchaser			Retailer				
Brand owner		NGO			Trade II	nion			

Audit company:

Multi-

stakeholder

Combined Audit (select all that apply)

Audit Parameters					
Time in and time out					
	In	09:30			
	Out	18:00			
Audit type:	FULL_IN	IITIAL			
Was the audit announced?	ANNOU	NCED			
Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	Yes				
Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?	No				
Who signed and agreed CAPR	Mr.Sam	pat Singh	/ Senior Manager - HR		
Is further information available	No				

Audit attendance	Management	Worker Representatives		
	Senior management	Worker Committee representatives	Union representatives	
A: Present at the opening meeting?	Yes	Yes	No	
B: Present at the audit?	Yes	Yes	No	
C: Present at the closing meeting?	Yes	Yes	No	
Reason for absence at the opening meeting	There is no union in this fa	actory		
Reason for absence during the audit	There is no union in this factory			
Reason for absence at the closing meeting	There is no union in this factory			

# **Summary of Findings**

Issue		a of informity	Number of issues		ues	Findings
(please click on the issue title to go direct to the appropriate audit results by clause)	ETI	Local Law	NC	Obs	GE	
3 - Working conditions are safe and hygienic	3.1 3.2		2	0	0	NC - cde54521-131a-415a- 998d-a968904d92e2 NC - 19fcdced-a5bf-47e3- b95d-b22fd55a11a6

Audit company: Accordia Global Compliance Group Asia Limited Report reference:

Start Date:

End Date:

Sedexglobal.com

# **Corrective Action Plan - Non Compliances**

	Non-Compliance	Evidence		
[Back to findings summary]				
Status	OPEN			
Reference	cde54521-131a-415a-998d-a968904d92e2			
Clause	3 - Working conditions are safe and hygienic			
Issue Title	152 - Health and safety risk assessment conducted, but not suitable / sufficient and/or documented			
Subcategory	Health & Safety Management			
New or carried over?	☑ New ☐ Carried Over			
Root cause	☐ Training ☑ System			
	☐ Costs ☐ Lack of workers			
	□ Other			
Root cause - Other				
ETI code	3.1 - A safe and hygienic working environment shall be provided, bearing in mind the prevailing knowledge of the industry and of any specific hazards. Adequate steps shall be taken to prevent accidents and injury to health arising out of, associated with, or occurring in the course of work, by minimising, so far as is reasonably practicable, the causes of hazards inherent in the working environment.			
Explanation to the non compliance	During health & safety risk assessment dated 20/12/2023 review and interaction with management noted boiler and ETP operational risk assessment not done			
Follow up method	☐ Follow up audit ☑ Desktop audit			
Timescale	☐ Immediate ☐ 30 days ☐ 60 days			
	□ 90 days □ 120 days □ 180 days			
	□ 365 days □ Other			
Actions	It is recommended review risk assessment for coverage of all process including boiler and ETP operations			

Audit company: Report reference: Start Date: End Date:

	Non-Co	ompliance		Evidence
[Back to findings	summary]			
	Non-Co	ompliance		
Status	OPEN			
Reference	19fcdced-a5bf-4	17e3-b95d-b22fd5	55a11a6	
Clause	3 - Working con	ditions are safe a	nd hygienic	
Issue Title	175 - Workers a fire prevention	re not given appr and/or evacuation	opriate fire safety, n training	
Subcategory	Fire Safety - Lice	enses, Inspections	s & Training	
New or carried over?	☑ New	□ Ca	arried Over	
Root cause	☑ Training	□ Sy	rstem	
	□ Costs	□ La	ick of workers	
	□ Other			
Root cause - Other				
ETI code	3.2 - Workers sh Health & Safety repeated for ne	nall receive regula training, and suc w or reassigned v	ar and recorded h training shall be workers.	
Explanation to the non compliance	During employed not awareness of	ee interview noted on evacuation pro	d 10/26 employees ocess	
Follow up method	☐ Follow up au	ıdit 🗹 De	esktop audit	
Timescale	□ Immediate	☑ 30 days	□ 60 days	
	□ 90 days	☐ 120 days	□ 180 days	
	☐ 365 days	□ Other		
Actions	Recommended plan for subseq evacuation awa	uent actions till re	g effectiveness and eaching satisfactory	у

Audit company: Report reference: Start Date: End Date:

# **SMETA Declaration**

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Auditor Team					
Lead Auditor:	Dinesh Narayanaswami	APSCA Number:	21701460		
Additional Auditors:	Krishna Bairappa		21703207		
Date of declaration:	2024-01-27				

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

Site Representation				
Full Name:	Mr.Sampat Singh			
Title:	Senior Manager - HR			
Date of declaration:	2024-01-27			

#### Comments:

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size):

Sampled wage records from the past 5 months were provided for review (5 months only since the operation for digital thermometer just started last Sep 2020).
The audit took 2.0 man-days (9AM-6PM per day). Audit time was extended until 8PM due to the extent of documentation; this was agreed

upon with the factory representatives

Audit company:



# **Guidance on Root Cause**

# **Explanation of the Root Cause Column**

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/ procedure or lack of activity /procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

# Some examples of finding a "root cause"

#### Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

#### Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

### Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re- occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.

Audit company:



For more information visit: <a>Sedexglobal.com</a>

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

# Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5lw\_3d\_3d

# Click here for Supplier (B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY\_2brg\_3d\_3d

**Click here for Auditors:** 

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP

Audit company: